

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
Foreword		
Foreword	Replace entire text	<p>Welcome to our Publication version of the Local Plan for South Oxfordshire. It is an important document because it sets out the identified need and location for housing and employment, and supporting infrastructure, in our area up to 2033.</p> <p>This document has been prepared following several rounds of consultation with yourselves and gathering of evidence to inform our decisions.</p> <p>There are competing issues that we must respond to and the need for new homes and to provide new land for jobs must be considered against any environmental or other impacts. These decisions have not been made lightly and look to strengthen the heart of the District.</p> <p>I know that many are concerned about the impact on their lives of new houses being built near them. However, I also talk to people who are equally worried about the prospects of homes for their children if we don't address the shortfalls that we face. This plan seeks to balance those tensions.</p> <p>What I hope you will find particularly striking about the plan is our desire to let more of the decisions be made by communities for themselves. South Oxfordshire has been in the vanguard of neighbourhood planning and, with your backing, our proposals can keep us there.</p>
Chapter One - Introduction		
Add after para 1.26	Add new paragraph	<p>We received approximately 7,666 responses from 1,369 individuals and organisations to the Second Preferred Options version of the Local Plan. These responses were focussed on the following key issues;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Duty to Cooperate matters - Housing numbers - Proposed strategic allocations - Infrastructure <p>The consultation report can be found on the Council's website.</p>

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
Chapter Two –The Second Preferred Options Document		
Before old 2.3	Add new paragraph	<p>When considering whether the plan meets its legal requirements, the Inspector will consider a number of issues including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Local Development Scheme: has the plan been prepared in accordance with the timetable set out in the Local Development Scheme? -Statement of Community Involvement and relevant regulations: has consultation on the plan been in accordance with the Council’s Statement of Consultation and have the appropriate bodies been consulted? - Duty to Cooperate: has the plan been prepared in cooperation with other local planning authorities and prescribed bodies, such as the Environment Agency and the Local Enterprise Partnership, to identify and address any issues which will have a significant impact on at least two planning areas? - Sustainability Appraisal: has an adequate Sustainability Appraisal been carried out? - Appropriate Assessment: has an Appropriate Assessment under the Habitats Regulations Assessment been carried out? - National Policy and Legislation: does the Plan comply with national policy and legislation, for example, the National Planning Policy Framework.
2.7		To help achieve this, we are undertaking extensive public consultation and engagement over a six 7-week period between 29 March 2017 and 17 May 2017 11 October 2017 and 22 November 2017
Before 2.13	Insert new paragraph	This Publication version of the Local plan is known as the Regulation 19 stage. It complements the earlier Regulation 18 consultations. To assist the examination Inspector, representations made under Regulation 19 should relate to the soundness of the Submission Draft Local Plan or to its compliance with legal requirements.
Chapter Four – Our Spatial Strategy		
4.19	Amend as shown:	We anticipate that the adoption of this Local Plan will be towards the end of 2018 as set out in the published Local Development Scheme. Government guidance requires Local Plans to have a time span of 15 years from the point of adoption, so it is proposed that the annual requirement for housing is rolled on for the additional two years, thereby giving us an overall OAN for South Oxfordshire up to 2033 of 17,050 15,950 -18,150.
4.21	Amend as follows:	We commissioned a study, referred to as the Employment Land Review, which assesses the amount and potential location of future requirements for employment land. This study identifies a need for between 33.2 16 and 35.9 25 hectares of employment land. (in addition to the approximate figure of about 5 hectares that

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>is already allocated in Didcot and Wallingford) to 2031. We have identified broad locations for this: around 20 hectares of employment land in the adopted core strategy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) At Culham Science Centre and the adjacent strategic site ii) At Didcot, albeit with some in the Vale of White Horse iii) At the strategic sites in Berinsfield and Chlagrove At Thame, as allocated in their NDP iv) At the towns of Henley-on-Thames, Thame and Wallingford to be identified in NDP at the Hithercroft industrial estate; and v) At in Crowmarsh Gifford and supported at the other larger villages to be identified in NDP. <p>Therefore we will need to allocate at least another 5 hectares of land. Taking the commitments, core strategy provision and additional requirements together totals 30 hectares of land.</p>
4.22	Delete paragraph	
4.23	Delete paragraph	
4.24	Amend as follows:	<p>In order to allow employment opportunities to flourish, this plan will identify a provision for at least 30 35.9 hectares of employment land over the plan period, including the safeguarding of around 11.5 5 hectares of employment land, carried forward from the Core Strategy. There is more detail on this in chapter six.</p>
4.24 – 4.25 (now 4.25)	Add in additional paragraph between existing paras 4.24 and 4.25 (before Policy STRAT2)	<p>The overall strategy (policy STRAT1) supports a range of development opportunities at different scales and types of settlements and sites. Some development sites will be easier to deliver than others. Any large-scale development site will be more challenging to prepare for development and serve with appropriate infrastructure. This challenge will be engaged with and reflected in our infrastructure delivery plan (IDP) and this plan's supporting development trajectory. We, also, recognise that some of our ambitious plans will continue to deliver after 2033 so land will be identified in this plan that may also continue to be built out after this plan period. Further detail of when and how this development will be delivered is given in the housing and employment chapters.</p>
STRAT2	Amend as shown:	<p>During the plan period, provision will be made to meet the need for at least 17,050 new homes and 30 35.9 hectares of employment land. This is to be delivered in accordance with the spatial strategy which seeks to strengthen the heart of South Oxfordshire. The broad locations and trajectory for this development is identified in policies H1 and EMP1.</p>

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>The appropriate level of new housing and employment will be monitored and a review undertaken five years following the adoption of the Local Plan and periodically thereafter, taking into account the most up-to-date evidence available at that time.</p> <p>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 2 & 3.</p>
4.26	Amend as shown:	<p>The preparation of the Oxford city Local Plan is at a relatively early stage and adoption is not anticipated until 2019. At this point in time, it is not possible to accurately identify the precise extent of Oxford city's unmet need. The SHMA recommends a range of 24,000 – 32,000 new homes for Oxford city. There exists a working assumption of the unmet housing need for the city of 15,000 new homes. This is to be shared between the remaining four districts. and we have previously consulted upon a quarter share, to help towards meeting some of this unmet need which equates to 3,750 new homes.</p>
4.28	Add new sentence	<p>...our spatial strategy. In line with the other Oxfordshire Authorities we propose a stepped development trajectory that begins provision for the city's unmet needs in the monitoring year 2021/22. The housing...</p>
STRAT3	Delete second paragraph and amend as shown	<p>During the plan period, starting in the monitoring year 2021/22, provision will be made to help meet part of Oxford City's unmet housing need for around 3,750 new homes. This is to be delivered in accordance with the spatial strategy which seeks to strengthen the heart of South Oxfordshire and is not identified at any one site or location.</p> <p>The housing contributions will be made from the point of adoption of the South Oxfordshire Local Plan onwards on an annual basis. 50% of the surplus in South Oxfordshire's housing supply will be contributed for the monitoring periods 2019/20 and 2020/21. Contributions of at least 250 homes a year will be made in the remaining years of the plan period.</p> <p>The appropriate level of unmet housing need for Oxford City will be monitored and a partial review of the South Oxfordshire Local Plan undertaken on adoption of the Local Plan for Oxford City, taking into account the most up-to-date evidence available at that time.</p> <p>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 1 & 2.</p>

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
STRAT5	Amend as shown:	<p>STRAT5 Strategic Allocations Development</p> <p>New development will be provided within Strategic Allocations in order to deliver the scale and distribution of development set out in Policies STRAT1, STRAT2, STRAT3 and STRAT4.</p> <p>Development proposals should enable a comprehensive scheme to be delivered across the developable area within each Strategic Allocation. Developers must ensure that the sites provide an appropriate scale and mix of uses, in suitable locations, to create sustainable developments that support and complement the role of existing settlements and communities.</p> <p>Proposals must be accompanied by a comprehensive masterplan for the entire Strategic Allocation. This should demonstrate how new development will integrate with and complement its surroundings in an appropriate manner.</p> <p>Proposals must ensure that relevant supporting infrastructure is provided. Developers must engage with relevant infrastructure providers to ensure the implementation of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.</p> <p>Proposals to deliver strategic development need to be supported by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) A visual impact assessment ii) A Health Impact Assessment iii) A Transport Assessment iv) An Air Quality Assessment v) An arboriculture survey vi) A Heritage Impact Assessment vii) An archaeological assessment to include a written scheme <p>Each development will be expected to provide:</p>

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> viii) A scheme of an appropriate scale, layout and form which respects the surrounding character and setting ix) High quality public transport facilities and connections within and adjacent the site x) Appropriate vehicular, cycle and pedestrian access including safe and attractive connections with nearby communities xi) Investigation and mitigation by the developer of any former land uses on the site which may give rise to contamination xii) A Noise Assessment including noise during construction and noise insulation of development xiii) A Landscape Management Plan to provide appropriate landscaping and an integrated network of green infrastructure xiv) An Ecological and Landscape Management Plan to be provided to manage habitats onsite xv) An integrated water management plan <p>This policy will also be used to determine proposals for large scale major development.</p> <p>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7.</p>
4.47	Replace entire paragraph	<p>We support delivery of a new Thames road crossing between Culham and Didcot Garden Town and the Clifton Hampden by-pass, as identified in Policy TRANS 1. This crossing has strategic transport benefits and is required to support development proposed in the emerging South Oxfordshire Local Plan, as well as development allocated in the Vale Local Plan Part 1, and development proposed in the emerging Vale Local Plan Part 2. It is also part of a package of transport infrastructure in this area as identified in the Science Vale Area Transport Strategy in the Oxfordshire Local Plan, which includes the Clifton Hampden Bypass and the Didcot Northern Perimeter Road. We recognise that the timing of delivery of this infrastructure linked to proposed new development is complex, particularly given that funding for this package is expected to come from a variety of sources including developer funding, Garden Town and other government funds not yet confirmed. We also recognise that there is a significant amount of further more detailed transport modelling and transport assessment work to support development and delivery of these schemes, including the Culham Crossing. This work, including development of a more detailed Micro-simulation transport model for Didcot Garden Town, is</p>

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>being progressed and funded in partnership with the County Council and Vale of White Horse, and will give an understanding in more detail of the impact and phasing of homes and jobs in the area linked to required infrastructure. This evidence will used alongside other evidence, including the outputs of Transport Assessments from proposed new development, to inform any limitations on the level of homes at the site linked to infrastructure funding to be set down at planning application stage.</p>
STRAT6	Amend as shown:	<p>STRAT6 - Culham Science Centre</p> <p>Green Belt inset area: 73 hectares Developable area: 73 hectares</p> <p>Proposals for the redevelopment and intensification of the Culham Science Centre will be supported where this does not have an unacceptable visual impact, particularly on the openness of the surrounding Green Belt and the Registered Parkland associated with Nuneham House.</p> <p>In combination with the adjacent strategic development site (policy STRAT7) this site will deliver at least a net increase in employment land of 7.3 hectares (with the existing 10 hectares of the No.1 site retained but redistributed across the two sites). The exact siting and phasing of the employment development will be agreed through the master planning and planning application process.</p> <p>Opportunities that support job growth and appropriate diversification or enterprise “clustering” will be explored to complement the wider development proposed in the area. In order to deliver any potential proposal, we will work proactively with the UK Atomic Energy Authority and development partners to create an agreed masterplan that facilitates this growth.</p> <p>The Culham Science Centre is proposed to be removed from the Green Belt and inset as shown in the Green Belt Inset Plan (Appendix 4) to enable this development to be brought forward.</p> <p>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 1 & 3.</p>

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
STRAT7	Amend as shown:	<p>STRAT7 - Land adjacent to Culham Science Centre</p> <p>Green Belt inset area: 242 hectares Developable area: 189 hectares</p> <p>Land within the developable area identified adjacent to Culham Science Centre, will be developed to deliver approximately 3,500 new homes, a net increase of employment land in combination with the adjacent Science Centre, up to 3 pitches for Gypsies and Travellers and supporting services and facilities.</p> <p>The strategic allocation will be expected to deliver:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) A scheme in accordance with an agreed comprehensive masterplan, including a full integrated water management plan i) A significant contribution towards the delivery of the new Didcot to Culham river crossing and the Clifton Hampden by-pass. ii) The retention of 10 hectares of employment land with at least a further 2 hectares of employment land ii) In combination with the adjacent Science Centre a net increase of employment land of 8 7.3 Ha hectares (with the existing 10 hectares Ha of the No.1 site retained but redistributed across the two sites). The exact siting and phasing of the employment development will be agreed through the planning application process. iii) 2000 sq.m of convenience retail floorspace (food) Provide 1700 sq.m of comparison retail floorspace (non-food) iv) A comprehensive survey of below ground archaeology with appropriate mitigation v) Appropriate vehicular, cycle and pedestrian access including safe and attractive connections with nearby communities vi) High quality public transport facilities and connections within and adjacent to the site iv) A layout and form that respects the setting of the heritage assets within and beyond the site; in particular the Listed Buildings & structures (the Culham railway station and rail bridges and “Schola Europaea”) and the Registered Parkland associated with Nuneham House, v) An integrated network of green infrastructure that links locally important wildlife and biodiverse sites. viii) High quality public transport facilities and connections within and adjacent to the site vi) Appropriate landscaping throughout the site and in particular along the boundaries of the strategic allocation

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>which allow limited through views, that preserve and enhance the surrounding Green Belt and the River Thames long distance footpath.</p> <p>vii) Where feasible, opportunities for district heating and low carbon on site energy generation</p> <p>The number of homes to be built on the site in advance of the implementation of the Culham Bridge will not exceed 750.</p> <p>The number and phasing of homes to be permitted at Culham and the timing of housing delivery linked to the planned infrastructure will need to be informed by further evidence. This will include the requirements of Policy TRANS4. This will be set down (and potentially conditioned) through the planning application process, in consultation with the Highway Authority.</p> <p>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 1, 2, 3, 5 & 6.</p>
4.47 (Now 4.48)	Replace entire paragraph	<p>The village of Berinsfield is currently ‘washed over’ by the Green Belt. We propose to inset the built up area of the village and an area of greenfield land to the east of the village from the Green Belt.</p>
4.47 – STRAT8 (Now 4.50-4.51)	Add additional paragraphs between paragraph 4.47 and policy STRAT8	<p>In April 2016, the Council confirmed its Community Investment Scheme for Berinsfield. This initiative identified the challenges that the village is currently facing and set out a range of objectives intended to address these challenges. In particular, it recognised that the village is currently home to a number of community facilities that are of real importance to local residents. However, the long-term sustainability of many of these facilities is not assured and, without significant investment, could be lost from the village. This would have adverse effects on a population which is already affected by relatively high levels of deprivation compared to the rest of the District.</p> <p>Given this, the exceptional circumstances for releasing land from the Green Belt at Berinsfield are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Areas of and community facilities within Berinsfield need regeneration and the current Green Belt policy is inhibiting this; • the mix of housing in Berinsfield is more unbalanced than in other parts of the District. Releasing land for

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>development could help to rebalance the mix as well as provide further opportunities for employment and service provision;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Berinsfield is a local service centre and some further development would be consistent with the overall spatial strategy of this Plan; and • the location is also at a distance from the special historic setting of the city of Oxford and does not make a significant contribution toward the purposes of including land in the Green Belt to check the unrestricted sprawl of Oxford city. <p>We consider that delivering both growth and regeneration together at Berinsfield promotes a sustainable pattern of development and will help to address the key issues currently facing the village. Ultimately, development at Berinsfield should deliver the necessary and specific benefits that cannot be achieved by developing elsewhere in the District.</p>
STRAT8	Replace entire policy.	<p>Land within the developable area identified at Berinsfield, will be developed to provide up to 1,700 new homes, 5 hectares of employment land and supporting services and facilities. The new homes should demonstrably support the regeneration of Berinsfield and the delivery of the necessary social infrastructure.</p> <p>Proposals to develop land at Berinsfield will be expected to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i) Deliver a scheme in accordance with an agreed comprehensive masterplan and strategy for the regeneration of Berinsfield ii) Meet the entire cost of the necessary regeneration package, including social, environmental, recreation, housing and public services infrastructure iii) Have no greater land-take than is necessary to deliver the required regeneration. Any part of the developable area that is not required for housing or related infrastructure should provide green infrastructure including planting to contain the settlement edge iv) Provide an integrated network of green infrastructure

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>v) Provide appropriate landscaping throughout the site and in particular along the boundaries of the developable area to contain and redefine the settlement edge; and</p> <p>vi) Provide high quality public transport facilities and connections within the village and to and from the surrounding area</p> <p>vii) Provide 2500 sq.m of retail floorspace comprising 1200 sq.m of comparison goods (non-food) and 1300 sq.m of convenience goods (food)</p> <p>Planning permission will only be granted where all the items set out at points (i) to (vi) above are secured. To meet the requirements of point (ii), any proposal must provide at least the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New premises for Berinsfield Children’s Centre; • new and expanded premises for Abbey Woods Academy; • new premises for the Adult Learning Centre; • new and expanded premises for a health centre; • new premises for the Abbey Sports Centre, including a replacement swimming pool of regulation length and a four-court sports hall; • a ‘Community Hub’ building – a flexible community space that enables the co-location of a range of different users and groups; • improvements to existing open spaces and the provision of new open spaces to meet the needs of new resident population; and • an appropriate mix of housing tenures and sizes. <p>Land at Berinsfield is proposed to be removed from the Green Belt and inset as a settlement as shown on the policies map only and specifically to enable this development to be brought forward.</p> <p>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 1, 2, 3, 5 & 6.</p>

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
NEW POLICY – STRAT8i		<p>Policy STRAT8i: Berinsfield Local Green Space</p> <p>Land identified in Appendix 2, figure (ii), at the centre of Berinsfield is allocated as Local Green Space.</p>
STRAT8 – ‘Land at Chalgrove Airfield’ (Now 4.53-4.58)	Add additional paragraphs between policy STRAT8 and title ‘Land at Chalgrove Airfield’.	<p>The expansion of Berinsfield is considered acceptable only if it will lead directly to the implementation of the masterplan for the regeneration of the village and the funding of the entire cost of the regeneration package identified by the Council through the Community Investment Scheme. The regeneration of Berinsfield has strong community support and this policy seeks to achieve a unique solution which could not otherwise be realised.</p> <p>A number of studies have been undertaken by the Council which indicate that Berinsfield requires investment and regeneration. This is to be supported by further work commissioned by the Council to explore detailed solutions for the regeneration of Berinsfield in accordance with this policy. This further work will identify the form that development should take in order to deliver the regeneration package identified.</p> <p>The South Oxfordshire Core Strategy (Adopted 2012) explained the exceptional circumstances warranting a review of the Green Belt boundary at Berinsfield. These were supported by the Inspector at its examination. In line with the exceptional circumstances warranting the review, the examining Inspector considered that the review of the Green Belt boundary at Berinsfield should not be confined to drawing a tight boundary around the built up area.</p> <p>The proposed release of Green Belt land follows a study that undertook a detailed assessment of the settlement edge of Berinsfield in order to identify land that does not meet the five purposes of the Green Belt set out in paragraph 80 of the NPPF. The study identified land to the east of Berinsfield as a potential area to be inset along with the existing built-up area of the village. However, it recognised that substantial planting would be required to the north, south and east of the land inset to contain and redefine the settlement edge.</p> <p>The area to be released from the Green Belt at Berinsfield allows for clear and recognisable Green Belt</p>

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>boundaries to be provided and would be sufficient in size to accommodate up to 1,700 new homes to be delivered. It is acknowledged that not all of this land will be required for development and the on-going work being undertaken by the Council's consultants will identify the particular constraints and areas where development would, and would not, be appropriately located. Any part of the developable area not required for development as part of the Berinsfield Community Investment Scheme will provide green infrastructure, including planting to contain and redefine the settlement edge.</p> <p>Given that the existing village of Berinsfield will be inset from the Green Belt we also propose to allocate the important open space within the centre of the village as Local Green Space.</p>
STRAT9	Amend as shown:	<p>STRAT9 - Land at Chalgrove Airfield</p> <p>Whole site: 255 hectares Developable area: 107 hectares</p> <p>Land within the developable area identified at Chalgrove Airfield, will be developed to deliver approximately 3,000 new homes, 5 hectares of employment land, up to 3 pitches for Gypsies and Travellers and supporting services and facilities.</p> <p>The strategic allocation will be expected to deliver;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) A scheme in accordance with an agreed comprehensive masterplan, including full integrated water management plan i) A layout and form that respects the setting of the Listed Buildings (particularly Rofford Hall and Rofford Manor) and the Registered Battlefield (Battle of Chalgrove 1643) beyond the site, ii) A scheme that delivers specific mitigation and management of surface water and runoff, iii) Appropriate vehicular, cycle and pedestrian access including safe and attractive connections with nearby communities iii) Cycling and walking links through the site and to adjacent employment and into the village of Chalgrove iv) 2000 sq.m of convenience retail floorspace (food) Provide 1700 sq.m of comparison retail floorspace (non-

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>food)</p> <p>iv) High quality public transport facilities and connections within and adjacent to the site</p> <p>v) Appropriate landscaping and an integrated network of green infrastructure</p> <p>vi) Where feasible, opportunities for district heating and low carbon on site energy generation</p> <p>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7.</p>
4.63 – STRAT10 (Now 4.77-4.80)	Add in additional paragraph between existing paragraph 4.63 and policy STRAT10	<p>The redevelopment of this site must be supported by a Visual Impact Assessment which demonstrates that the proposals have no greater impact than the existing development on the openness of the Green Belt and the purposes of including land within it, and where possible, have less impact. We recognise that, replacement buildings may be desired elsewhere on a site. Sometimes this can have a greater or lesser impact on the perception of openness, dependent on their location. In these cases we will assess the existing site and the impact of any existing building. If the new position would not be in keeping with its surroundings, would be less in keeping or would have a greater impact upon the openness of the Green Belt, the proposal is less likely to be acceptable. However, if it is considered to have less impact upon openness, this is likely to weigh in favour of the application.</p> <p>A large part of the wider site is subject to existing constraints associated with the listed building and Scheduled Monument and its proximity to Registered Parks and Gardens.</p> <p>The existing level of playing field provision should be retained and a wider community use should be explored through any planning application. An equivalent quantum of sports provision should be incorporated within any proposal.</p> <p>The noise assessment required to support this proposal must pay careful attention to the relationship of the site to the A40 and the impact of noise to the southern area of the site and appropriate mitigation must be incorporated within the proposal.</p>
STRAT10	Amend as shown:	Site area: 22 hectares Existing development footprint: 12 hectares

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>Land at Wheatley Campus, will be developed to deliver at least 300 new homes. Proposals to develop land at Wheatley Campus will be expected to deliver:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) A scheme of an appropriate scale and layout in a form that respects the listed buildings and structures (in particular of Holton Park) and their setting; ii) a layout and form which provides an appropriate buffer to protect the Scheduled Monuments (in particular the Moated site of Holton House and its associated ice house adjacent to the site and Moated site 580m south west of Church Farm); iii) the retention of the quantum of existing sports pitches in the north west of the site; iv) appropriate landscaping, including buffers along the A40; v) any necessary additional school capacity arising from the proposal; vi) cycling and walking links to the centres of Holton and Wheatley and primary school; vii) pedestrian and vehicular access to the east, with at least emergency and pedestrian access to the west of the site; viii) a comprehensive survey of above and below ground archaeology and the listed buildings to determine the extent and significance of archaeological remains and the significance of the buildings and their setting, on and adjacent to the site, to inform and guide the development proposals, with appropriate mitigation; ix) provide 1200 sq.m of retail floorspace comprising 500 sq.m of comparison goods (non-food) and 700 sq.m of convenience goods (food) <p>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 & 7.</p>

Chapter Five – Delivering New Homes

Table 5c	Replace table with revised version							
17/09/04	Schedule of Changes to the South Oxfordshire Local Plan	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1055 1230 1594 1460">Supply of new homes to come forward</th> <th data-bbox="1594 1230 1765 1460">Net number of dwellings</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1055 1460 1594 1546">Completions 2011-2017</td> <td data-bbox="1594 1460 1765 1546">3,397</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1055 1546 1594 1596">Commitments as at 31 March 2017 –</td> <td data-bbox="1594 1546 1765 1596">9,343</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Supply of new homes to come forward	Net number of dwellings	Completions 2011-2017	3,397	Commitments as at 31 March 2017 –	9,343
Supply of new homes to come forward	Net number of dwellings							
Completions 2011-2017	3,397							
Commitments as at 31 March 2017 –	9,343							

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change																				
Policy H2	Bring amended table 5d into the policy	<p>At Didcot, provision will be made for at least 6,500* new homes between 2011 and 2033. This provision will be at: the sites listed in table 5d.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Location</th> <th>Indicative dwelling capacity</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>i) Safeguarded: Ladygrove East (carried forward from Core Strategy)</td> <td>642</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ii) Safeguarded: Didcot N E (carried forward from Core Strategy)</td> <td>2030</td> </tr> <tr> <td>iii) Safeguarded: Great Western Park (carried forward from Core Strategy)</td> <td>2587</td> </tr> <tr> <td>iv) Safeguarded: Vauxhall Barracks (carried forward from Core Strategy)</td> <td>300</td> </tr> <tr> <td>v) Safeguarded: Orchard Centre Phase II (carried forward from Core Strategy)</td> <td>300</td> </tr> <tr> <td>vi) New: Didcot A</td> <td>270</td> </tr> <tr> <td>vii) New: Gateway</td> <td>300</td> </tr> <tr> <td>viii) New: Haddon Hill</td> <td>74</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>6,503</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 1, 2, 4, 6 & 7.</p>	Location	Indicative dwelling capacity	i) Safeguarded: Ladygrove East (carried forward from Core Strategy)	642	ii) Safeguarded: Didcot N E (carried forward from Core Strategy)	2030	iii) Safeguarded: Great Western Park (carried forward from Core Strategy)	2587	iv) Safeguarded: Vauxhall Barracks (carried forward from Core Strategy)	300	v) Safeguarded: Orchard Centre Phase II (carried forward from Core Strategy)	300	vi) New: Didcot A	270	vii) New: Gateway	300	viii) New: Haddon Hill	74	Total	6,503
Location	Indicative dwelling capacity																					
i) Safeguarded: Ladygrove East (carried forward from Core Strategy)	642																					
ii) Safeguarded: Didcot N E (carried forward from Core Strategy)	2030																					
iii) Safeguarded: Great Western Park (carried forward from Core Strategy)	2587																					
iv) Safeguarded: Vauxhall Barracks (carried forward from Core Strategy)	300																					
v) Safeguarded: Orchard Centre Phase II (carried forward from Core Strategy)	300																					
vi) New: Didcot A	270																					
vii) New: Gateway	300																					
viii) New: Haddon Hill	74																					
Total	6,503																					
5.10	<p>Add text and table as shown:</p> <p>Move paragraph to after policy H15 Infill</p>	<p>Infill development is defined as the filling of a small gap in an otherwise continuous built-up frontage or on other sites within settlements where the site is closely surrounded by buildings. The scale of infill should be appropriate to its location and this will be directed, in part, by the settlement hierarchy. The following table should be used as guidance:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Settlement type</th> <th>Infill</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Towns / larger villages</td> <td>No limit</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Settlement type	Infill	Towns / larger villages	No limit																
Settlement type	Infill																					
Towns / larger villages	No limit																					

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change																			
		Smaller Villages	Sites of up to 0.2Ha (equivalent to 5-6 homes)																		
		Other Villages	Sites of up to 0.1Ha (equivalent to 2-3 homes)																		
		All other places not listed	Not normally acceptable																		
5.11	Table has moved into the policy and is not required in this para.	Delete table 5d																			
Policy H2i - H2iii	Polices not necessary given change to policy H2	Delete policies H2i, H2ii and H2iii																			
5.14	Add sentence to the end of the paragraph	...settlement may accommodate. An assessment has been undertaken to check the capacity of our towns to accommodate further growth. This took account of the evidence collected as part of the plan-making process, particularly in terms of land availability, infrastructure delivery and landscape capacity. This has informed the numbers of homes identified for each town in Policy H3.																			
5.15	New table	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Town</th> <th>Core Strategy + 15% growth</th> <th>Completi ons and commitme nts</th> <th>Outstan ding</th> <th>Target for NDP</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Henley</td> <td>1285</td> <td>749</td> <td>536</td> <td>350</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Thame</td> <td>1518</td> <td>1102</td> <td>416</td> <td>510</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Town	Core Strategy + 15% growth	Completi ons and commitme nts	Outstan ding	Target for NDP	Henley	1285	749	536	350	Thame	1518	1102	416	510
Town	Core Strategy + 15% growth	Completi ons and commitme nts	Outstan ding	Target for NDP																	
Henley	1285	749	536	350																	
Thame	1518	1102	416	510																	

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change				
		Wallingford	1070	869	201	295
		Total	3,873	2,720	1,153	1,155
Policy H3	Replace policy wording as follows:	<p>Policy H3: Housing in the towns of Henley-on-Thames, Thame and Wallingford</p> <p>A minimum of 1,155 homes will be collectively delivered through Neighbourhood Development Plans in the towns of Henley-on-Thames, Thame and Wallingford as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) 350 homes at Henley-on-Thames ii) 510 homes at Thame iii) 295 homes at Wallingford <p>iv) In addition, Land at Wallingford Greenfield Neighbourhood (Land West of Wallingford – Site B) is safeguarded for 555 new homes. Planning permission exists and we wish to protect this.</p> <p>Permission will not normally be granted for major new development exceeding the above requirements.</p> <p>If a Neighbourhood Development Plan has not adequately progressed with allocating sites to meet these requirements within 12 months of adoption of this Local Plan, planning applications for housing in the market towns will be supported provided that proposals comply with the overall housing distribution strategy as set out in policy STRAT1</p> <p>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7.</p> <p><i>Footnote x: the plan has reached submission stage and has allocated sufficient housing sites</i></p>				
5.28	Additional sentence at the end of the paragraph	<p>...development of their village. An assessment has been undertaken to check the capacity of our larger villages to accommodate further growth. This took account of the evidence collected as part of the plan-making process, particularly in terms of land availability, infrastructure delivery and landscape capacity. This has informed the</p>				

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change																																																		
		numbers of homes identified for each town in Policy H4.																																																		
5.28	Replace table with revised version	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Larger Village</th> <th>Core Strategy + 15% growth</th> <th>Completions and commitments</th> <th>Outstanding</th> <th>Target for NDP</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Benson</td> <td>383</td> <td>514</td> <td>0 (+131)</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Berinsfield</td> <td>274</td> <td>5</td> <td>(269)</td> <td>0 - Strategic allocation</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Chalgrove</td> <td>248</td> <td>16</td> <td>(232)</td> <td>0 - Strategic allocation</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Chinnor</td> <td>594</td> <td>777</td> <td>0 (+183)</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cholsey</td> <td>612</td> <td>459</td> <td>153</td> <td>175</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Crowmarsh Gifford</td> <td>312</td> <td>224</td> <td>88</td> <td>110</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Goring</td> <td>329</td> <td>96</td> <td>233</td> <td>140</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nettlebed</td> <td>70</td> <td>11</td> <td>59</td> <td>46</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sonning Common</td> <td>377</td> <td>249</td> <td>128</td> <td>150</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Larger Village	Core Strategy + 15% growth	Completions and commitments	Outstanding	Target for NDP	Benson	383	514	0 (+131)	0	Berinsfield	274	5	(269)	0 - Strategic allocation	Chalgrove	248	16	(232)	0 - Strategic allocation	Chinnor	594	777	0 (+183)	0	Cholsey	612	459	153	175	Crowmarsh Gifford	312	224	88	110	Goring	329	96	233	140	Nettlebed	70	11	59	46	Sonning Common	377	249	128	150
Larger Village	Core Strategy + 15% growth	Completions and commitments	Outstanding	Target for NDP																																																
Benson	383	514	0 (+131)	0																																																
Berinsfield	274	5	(269)	0 - Strategic allocation																																																
Chalgrove	248	16	(232)	0 - Strategic allocation																																																
Chinnor	594	777	0 (+183)	0																																																
Cholsey	612	459	153	175																																																
Crowmarsh Gifford	312	224	88	110																																																
Goring	329	96	233	140																																																
Nettlebed	70	11	59	46																																																
Sonning Common	377	249	128	150																																																

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change				
		Watlington	262	28	234	260
		Wheatley	305	107	(198)	0 - Strategic allocation (Holton parish)
		Woodcote	225	89	136	160
		Total	3,991	2,575	1,031	1,041
Policy H4	Replace policy wording as follows:	<p>Policy H4: Housing in Larger Villages A minimum of 1,041 homes will be collectively delivered through Neighbourhood Development Plans and Local Plan site allocations at the Larger Villages as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 175 homes at Cholsey • 110 homes at Crowmarsh Gifford • 140 homes at Goring • 150 homes at Sonning Common • 260 homes at Watlington • 160 homes at Woodcote <p>Permission will not normally be granted for major new development exceeding the above requirements.</p> <p>If a Neighbourhood Development Plan has not adequately progressed with allocating sites to meet these requirements within 12 months of adoption of this Local Plan, planning applications for housing in the larger villages will be supported provided that proposals comply with the overall housing distribution strategy as set out in policy STRAT1</p>				

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7.</p> <p><i>Footnote x: the plan has reached submission stage and has allocated sufficient housing sites</i></p>
After 5.36	Insert new policy	<p>Policy H? – Land to the South and West of Nettlebed Service Station</p> <p>Site area: 1.3ha This allocation will be expected to deliver:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) A residential development of approximately 15 dwellings, representing an extension to the existing community ii) A scheme of an appropriate scale and form including relevant landscaping to minimise the impact on the AONB iii) Appropriate and safe means of access. <p>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 & 7.</p>
5.37 – 5.40	Delete, 5.37 – 5.40 Housing Allocations at Crowmarsh Gifford	
H10 (Now H7)	Replace entire policy	<p>A minimum of 500 new homes will be delivered in the ‘smaller villages’.</p> <p>This will be achieved through Neighbourhood Development Plans which allocate sites for at least a 5% increase in dwelling numbers above those recorded in the 2011 Census.</p> <p>In ‘smaller villages’ where there is no Neighbourhood Development Plan a 5-10% increase in dwelling numbers, above those recorded in the 2011 Census, will be achieved through the development of suitable sites and</p>

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		through infill development.
H8 & H9	Delete, Land to the east of Benson Lane & Land to the South of Newnham Manor, Crowmarsh Gifford	
New paragraph	BEFORE “Other Villages” title please	<p>In line with nation planning practice guidance, suitable sites are considered to be those that are acceptable in terms of the national policies (NPPF) and policies contained within this development plan. The following factors should also be considered to assess a site’s suitability for development now or in the future:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • physical limitations or problems such as access, infrastructure, ground conditions, flood risk, hazardous risks, pollution or contamination; • potential impacts including the effect upon landscapes including landscape features, nature and heritage conservation; • appropriateness and likely market attractiveness for the type of development proposed; • contribution to regeneration priority areas; • environmental/amenity impacts experienced by would be occupiers and neighbouring areas^x. <p><i>Footnote ^x: PPG Reference ID: 3-019-20140306</i></p>
H13 (Now H10)	Amend as shown:	<p>A mix of dwelling types and sizes to meet the needs of current and future households will be sought on all new residential developments.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All affordable housing and at least 15% of market housing on sites of 110 dwellings or more should be designed to meet the standards of Part M (4) Category 2: accessible and adaptable dwellings (or any replacement standards) • At least 5% of affordable housing dwellings should be designed to the standards of Part M (4) Category 3: wheelchair accessible dwellings

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change										
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> On sites of 100 dwellings or more plots should be set aside to allow for at least 3% of market housing dwellings to be designed to the standards of Part M (4) Category 3: wheelchair accessible dwellings (or any replacement standards). The exact requirement should be based on evidence regarding current demand. The plots should be marketed for a period of 12 months to identify an appropriate buyer. All affordable housing and 1 and 2 bed market housing dwellings should be designed to meet the Nationally Described Space Standards. The mix of housing shall be in general conformity with our latest evidence** and Neighbourhood Development Plan evidence where applicable for the relevant area. <p>fn **Our latest evidence is in the Oxfordshire SHMA 2014, but this will be subject to monitoring and review. This will be updated periodically.</p>										
H13 – 5.50 (after H10, 5.46-5.48)	Add in additional paragraph between existing policy H13 and paragraph 5.50	<p>One of our objectives is to deliver a wide choice of high quality homes, highlighting the need to plan for a mix of housing based on current and future needs. Policy H13 provides that a mix of dwelling types and sizes to meet the needs of current and future households will be sought on all new residential developments. Our latest evidence (the Oxfordshire SHMA 2014) found a shortfall in smaller units and recommended for most units to be 2 and 3 bedrooms. The findings from the SHMA are summarised below, for guidance:</p> <p>Table 5g: Indicative market housing mix</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="678 1034 1774 1109"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>1 bed</th> <th>2 bed</th> <th>3 bed (5 person)</th> <th>3 bed (6 person)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Market homes</td> <td>6%</td> <td>27%</td> <td>43%</td> <td>24%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>We will keep this guidance under review and publish updates as necessary, but the above table should be used as a starting point.</p> <p>While the housing mix should be informed by this evidence it will also need to respond to the character of the site and its setting.</p>		1 bed	2 bed	3 bed (5 person)	3 bed (6 person)	Market homes	6%	27%	43%	24%
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed (5 person)	3 bed (6 person)								
Market homes	6%	27%	43%	24%								

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
H16 (now H13)	Replace entire policy	<p>Policy H16: Provision for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople</p> <p>The provision of pitches for Gypsies and Travellers and plots for Travelling Showpeople will be delivered through:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safeguarding existing sites • Extending existing sites, where possible, to meet the needs of existing residents and their families • As part of the following site allocations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 4 pitches for Gypsies and Travellers at Didcot North East (safeguarded site) as shown on the policies map - 3 pitches for Gypsies and Travellers at Land adjacent to Culham Science Centre (STRAT7) as shown on the policies map - 3 pitches for Gypsies and Travellers at Land at Chalgrove Airfield (STRAT9) as shown on the policies map <p>Proposals for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople, will be permitted where it has been demonstrated that the following criteria have been met.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i) There is a proven need for the development and/or the capacity of the site can be justified to meet needs for further gypsy, traveller and travelling showpeople sites, or extensions to existing sites ii) The site is not located within the Oxford Green Belt iii) Proposals on sites in areas of sensitive landscape will be considered in accordance with Policy ENV1. In all other locations the proposal will not have an unacceptable impact on the character and appearance of the landscape and the amenity of neighbouring properties, and is sensitively designed to mitigate any impact on its surroundings iv) The site has safe and satisfactory vehicular and pedestrian access to the surrounding principal highway network, and can be provided with safe electricity, mains drinking water, sewage connections and waste disposal facilities v) No significant barriers to development exist in terms of poor ground stability or proximity to other hazardous land or installation where other forms of housing would not be suitable vi) No significant barriers to development exist in terms of flooding or poor drainage.

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<i>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 2, 4, 5 & 6.</i>
5.62	Amendments to end of paragraph	...plot requirement in policy H14 H11 comes from dividing the 9,700 8,200 homes planned for on our strategic sites by the current identified need and rounding to the nearest whole figure.
5.69 (now 5.68)	Replace entire paragraph	The GTAA (2017), prepared jointly with Cherwell District Council, Oxford City Council and Vale of White Horse District Council, identified a need for 10 additional permanent Gypsy and Traveller pitches to be delivered to 2033. For the Travelling Showpeople community, the assessment identified no need for any additional plots. The need identified in the most recent GTAA is lower than the need identified in the GTAA update in 2014. This is because we are only required to identify pitches and plots for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople that meet the planning definition set out in PPTS (2015). The 10 pitch requirement includes provision for traveller families where it was unknown whether they met the planning definition set out in PPTS (2015). The accommodation needs of families where it was known that they no longer meet the definition are taken into account with other 'caravan dwellers'. These needs are addressed by Policy H1 above.
5.70 (now 5.69)	Replace entire paragraph	Work was undertaken in 2013/14 to identify appropriate sites for permanent traveller pitches. The Delivery of Pitches report (2015) recommended safeguarding all existing permanent traveller sites within the district and intensifying and/or extending these, where appropriate. The study also identified new sites with the potential of being suitable for permanent traveller sites. This included the greenfield neighbourhood development at Didcot, now known as Didcot North East, which was considered suitable for 15 permanent traveller pitches. Delivering pitches on this site was also identified as a priority in the Core Strategy (Policy CSH5). Other sites recommended by the study as sites with potential and future potential could not be taken forward for a variety of reasons including, the sites being needed for infrastructure improvements, the sites being identified for a different use in a Neighbourhood Development Plan, the sites being located in the Green Belt or the sites identified as potential waste management sites.
5.71 (now 5.70)	Replace entire paragraph	Taking into account the recommendations made by the Delivery of Pitches report (2015) previous priorities set out in the Core Strategy and our strategy for this Local Plan, we have identified three sites that could deliver the 10 pitches required. We have taken forward the previous commitment from the Core Strategy to provide pitches

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		at Didcot North East and are allocating four pitches to this site. The remaining six pitches will be delivered at our strategic sites at Chalgrove and Culham. Allocating pitches at our strategic sites allows us to consider the needs of travellers at the outset of the design process and properly integrate the pitches into the design of the development.
Chapter Six – Employment and Economy		
6.10 – 6.11 (now 6.11)	Add in additional paragraph between existing paragraphs 6.10 and 6.11	The 2014 SHMA forecasts anticipated economic growth across Oxfordshire and the district between 2011 and 2031. The SHMA forecasts a total increase in employment of around 88,000 people for Oxfordshire with an increase of 11,455 jobs in South Oxfordshire from 2011 to 2031. The ‘South Oxfordshire Employment Land Review Addendum’ (SOELRA) published in August 2017 examines the forecasts of the 2014 SHMA. Based on the SHMA the SOELRA projects an increase of 12,403 jobs from 2011 to 2033, with an increase of between 6,227 to 6,734 jobs in the office, manufacturing and distribution sectors (‘B-class’ jobs based on labour demand and local labour supply respectfully). To plan for the economic growth forecast in the 2014 SHMA under planned economic growth the SOELRA forecasts that between 33.2 to 35.9 hectares of additional employment land is required in the district over the period 2011 to 2033. The council previously published a ‘South Oxfordshire Employment Land Review’ (ELR) in 2015 which forecast a requirement of 24.4 hectares of employment land for the period 2014 to 2031 or approximately 31.6 hectares if extrapolated over the plan period. Therefore, the SOELRA sets a slightly higher requirement in line with the 2014 SHMA.
6.17	Amend as shown:	Our strategy makes a strong link between the housing growth in Didcot and the business growth needs of ‘Science Vale’, including at Harwell Oxford ¹¹ and Milton Park outside the D district (within Vale of White Horse District). The Council has We have worked with the Vale of White Horse District Council to plan for enough B-class jobs to cater for Didcot’s increased population. Core Policy 6 of the adopted Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 (adopted) identifies 218 hectares of land for future employment development across the Vale of White Horse District. 28 hectares of employment land are allocated at Milton Park within the Vale of White Horse District, of which 6.5 hectares is to meet the cross-boundary employment land needs of Didcot within South Oxfordshire.
6.16 – 6.17	Add in additional	The previous local plan adopted in 2006 and the Core Strategy adopted in 2012 allocated 2.92 hectares of land

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change						
(now 6.18)	paragraph between existing paragraphs 6.16 and 6.17	for employment at Didcot on the Southmead Industrial Estate. These sites remain suitable for employment uses and are therefore allocated in this plan. The previous plans also allocated 5.8 hectares of land at the Hithercroft Industrial Estate under policies WAL5i to WAL5v. Several of these sites have now been successfully developed for employment uses with the remaining 2.25 hectares being suitable for employment, and therefore continue to be allocated in this plan. The Core Strategy also proposed an additional 2 hectares of employment land at Thame, 2 hectares at Wallingford and an additional 4.2 hectares at the 'larger villages' to be allocated in a site allocations document. The market towns have or are preparing Neighbourhood Development Plans. The Thame NDP in 2013 allocated a 3 hectare employment site (2 hectares net) which has now been developed. The Henley NDP has also allocated some employment sites. Further housing is now planned for the towns and therefore additional employment land is required to provide sustainable development.						
6.17 (6.19)	Replace entire paragraph	Our support of developments at the Culham Science Centre and land to the west of the Science Centre focus growth within the 'Science Vale', close to Didcot, with rail links to Oxford. The Science Centre and the adjacent land will be planned comprehensively with an additional 7.3 hectares of employment land. There will be opportunities for the replacement of temporary buildings at the Science Centre and an intensification of uses. We recognise that there may be the need for redevelopment of some buildings for industries that tend to provide a lower density of employment. We are planning for the redevelopment of the 'Number One' site between the Culham Science Centre and the railway station to make the most of this sustainable location. We require the 10 hectares of existing employment land on this site to be retained within the wider area and we will support the relocation of the existing business if required.						
EMP1	Amend as shown:	<p>To facilitate the provision of additional office, manufacturing and distribution jobs ('B-class jobs'), between 2011 and 2033, the equivalent of at least 30 35.9 hectares of B-class employment land will be provided. Employment land will be provided at the following locations:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Location</th> <th>Site</th> <th>Net amount of employment land (hectares)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Didcot</td> <td>Southmead Industrial Estate</td> <td>2.92</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Location	Site	Net amount of employment land (hectares)	Didcot	Southmead Industrial Estate	2.92
Location	Site	Net amount of employment land (hectares)						
Didcot	Southmead Industrial Estate	2.92						

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change		
			(Carried forward from Core Strategy)	
			Milton Park (Within Vale of White Horse District) (Carried forward from Core Strategy)	6.5
		Henley	Sites to be identified in the NDP	1.0
		Thame	Sites to be identified in the NDP	1.6
		Wallingford	Sites to be identified in the NDP (Likely to be at the Hithercroft Industrial Estate)	3.1
			Hithercroft Industrial Estate (Carried forward from Core Strategy)	2.25
		Crowmarsh Gifford	Sites to be identified in the NDP (Likely to be at Howbery Park)	0.28
		Culham	Redevelopment and intensification of Culham Science Centre and Culham Number 1 site with strategic allocation west of Culham Science Centre. 10 hectares of existing employment land at Culham No.1 to be retained within the comprehensive development.	7.3
		Chalgrove	Sites to be identified in the NDP (Likely to be sites at Monument Business Park)	2.25
			Airfield development	5.0

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change												
		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Berinsfield</td> <td>To be allocated in accordance with the regeneration strategy</td> <td>5.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td></td> <td>37.2</td> </tr> </table> <p>The table above includes commitments (safeguarded sites) in the case of allocations secured in the Core Strategy.</p> <p>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 1, 2, 3 & 6</p>				Berinsfield	To be allocated in accordance with the regeneration strategy	5.0				Total		37.2
Berinsfield	To be allocated in accordance with the regeneration strategy	5.0												
Total		37.2												
EMP4	Amend as shown:	<p>Policy EMP4 - Employment Land in Didcot</p> <p>In addition to employment opportunities generated through the Didcot Garden Town masterplan and the strategic allocations in this plan, at least 3.04 2.92 hectares of employment land will be delivered at Didcot at the following sites currently allocated in the adopted South Oxfordshire Core Strategy, located within Southmead Industrial Estate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site EMP4i: Southmead Industrial Estate East (2.66 hectares) • Site EMP4ii: Southmead Industrial Estate West (0.26 hectares) <p>A small part of site EMP4i is located within an area of flood risk. Employment uses are classed as 'less vulnerable' however comprehensive development of the site should be appropriate to the flood risk level.</p> <p>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 1, 2, 3 & 6.</p>												
EMP6	Amend as shown:	In addition to allocations in the made Thame Neighbourhood Development Plan, an additional 2 1.6 hectares of employment land will be delivered at Thame.												

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
6.29	Delete last sentence as shown:	In addition, this local plan provides for a further 2 hectares of employment land to be provided in Thame, to be allocated in the review of the Neighbourhood Development Plan.
EMP7	Amend as shown:	<p>At least 2.82 2.82 2.25 hectares of employment land will be delivered at Wallingford at the following sites currently allocated in the adopted South Oxfordshire Core Strategy, located within Hithercroft Industrial Estate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site EMP7i: former Elliott factory, Land at Hithercroft Road and Lupton Road (2.0 hectares) • Site EMP7ii: land at Lupton Road (1.22 hectares) • Site EMP7iii: land at Whitley Road • Site EMP7iv : land at the junction of Whitley Road and Lester Road (0.25 hectares) <p>At least a further 3.10 hectares of employment land will be delivered at Wallingford through the Neighbourhood Development Plan. The Neighbourhood Development Plan must be submitted to the Council within 12 months of adoption of this local plan. If the Neighbourhood Development Plan is not adequately progressed in this time the local planning authority will allocate site(s) through a review of the local plan.</p> <p>Schemes that improve the stock of existing commercial buildings and the environment of existing employment areas will be supported.</p> <p>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 1, 2, 3 & 6.</p>
EMP8	Replace entire policy	<p>At least 0.28 hectares of employment land will be delivered at Crowmarsh Gifford. These will be delivered through the Neighbourhood Development Plan.</p> <p>The Neighbourhood Development Plan must be submitted to the Council within 12 months of adoption of this local plan. If the Neighbourhood Development Plan is not adequately progressed in this time the local planning authority will allocate site(s) through a review of the local plan.</p> <p>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 1, 2, 3 & 6.</p>

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
New policy EMP11	Add the following policy with title: Policy EMP11: Community Employment Plans	<p><u>Policy EMP11: Community Employment Plans</u></p> <p>All new development proposals should demonstrate how opportunities for local employment, apprenticeships and training can be created and seek to maximise the opportunities for sourcing local produce, suppliers and services during both construction and operation.</p> <p>The council may require the submission of a site-specific Community Employment Plan (CEP) for the construction and operation of major* development sites, using a planning condition or legal agreement.</p> <p>The CEP should be prepared in partnership with the district council and any other partners to deliver the agreed CEP. The CEP should cover, but not be limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) Local procurement agreements. ii) Apprenticeships, employment and training initiatives for all ages and abilities; and iii) Training and work experience for younger people including those not in education, employment or training. <p>*As defined by Development Management Procedure Order 2010.</p> <p>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 1, 3, 4, 6 & 8.</p>
EMP13	Replace entire policy	<p>The Council encourages new development to advance the visitor economy for leisure and business purposes. Proposals will be supported as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) within the built-up areas of the Towns - larger scale developments including conference facilities, museums, heritage centres, hotels, guest houses and associated facilities for visitors ii) within the built-up areas of the Larger and Smaller Villages – smaller and proportionately scaled developments that are in keeping with the character of the settlement, including museums, heritage

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>centres, hotels, guest houses, self-catering accommodation and associated facilities for visitors, and iii) at service areas on the main transport corridors - hotel accommodation.</p> <p>Outside the above locations, small-scale development to support the visitor economy, including farm diversification and equine development, will be supported provided that proposals are in keeping with the scale and character of the locality and which would not adversely affect heritage assets or their setting. Larger developments will only be supported in exceptional circumstances, for example to sensitively re-use a historic building, or to proportionally support or enhance enjoyment of a significant and established visitor attraction where this cannot reasonably be achieved from a town or village location.</p> <p>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 3 & 6.</p>
Chapter Seven - Infrastructure		
Policy INF4	<p>Add in new 3rd paragraph</p> <p>Delete first part of existing 3rd paragraph (now 4th paragraph)</p>	<p>New developments are required to be designed to a water efficiency standard of 110 litres/head/day (l/h/d) for new homes.</p> <p>All development proposals must demonstrate that they meet the highest standard of water consumption as defined in Building Regulations Part L. In addition, Pproposals that increase the requirement for water will only be permitted where adequate water resources either already exist or can be provided without detriment to existing abstraction, river flows, groundwater flow to and from springs, water quality, biodiversity or other land uses.</p>
Chapter Eight – Natural and Historic Environment		
ENV4	Replace entire policy.	<p>Policy ENV4: Watercourses</p> <p>Development of land that contains or is adjacent to a watercourse must protect or enhance the function and setting of the watercourse and its biodiversity. As a last resort development should provide mitigation for any unavoidable impacts.</p> <p>Development should include a minimum 10m buffer zone along both sides of the watercourse to create a</p>

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>corridor favourable to the enhancement of biodiversity.</p> <p>Proposals should avoid the culverting of any watercourse.</p> <p>Development which is located within 20m of a watercourse will require a construction management plan to be agreed with the Council before commencement of work to ensure that the watercourse will be satisfactorily protected from damage, disturbance or pollution.</p>
ENV6	Replace entire policy.	<p>Policy ENV6 - Historic Environment</p> <p>Proposals for new development that affect heritage assets (designated and non-designated) must conserve or enhance the significance of the heritage asset and its setting in accordance with national guidance and legislation. Proposals will be supported particularly where they:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) make a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness and/or ii) make a positive contribution towards wider social and economic benefits and or iii) provide a viable future use for a heritage asset that is consistent with the conservation of its significance, and/or iv) protect a heritage asset that is currently at risk <p>The Council will work with landowners, developers, the community, Historic England and other stakeholders to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) ensure that new development conserves, and where possible enhances, designated heritage assets and non-designated heritage assets and their setting ii) ensure that vacant historic buildings are appropriately re-used as soon as possible to prevent deterioration of condition iii) seek to reduce the number of buildings on the “Heritage at Risk” Register iv) encourage better understanding of the significance of scheduled monuments on the “Heritage at Risk” Register and to aid in their protection v) better understand the significance of Conservation Areas in the district through producing Conservation Area Character Appraisals and Management Plans vi) identify criteria for assessing non-designated heritage assets and maintaining a list of such assets as Locally

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>Listed Buildings, and vii) encourage Heritage Partnership Agreements, particularly for Listed Buildings on any ‘at risk’ register. viii) support Neighbourhood Development Plans where they seek to assess their heritage assets and add to the evidence base.</p> <p>Applicants will be required to describe, in line with best practice and relevant national guidance, the significance of any heritage assets affected including any contribution made by their setting. The level of detail should be proportionate to the assets’ importance. In some circumstances, further survey, analysis and recording will be made a condition of consent.</p> <p>Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990</p>
8.38 (now 8.34-8.37)	Replace entire paragraph.	<p>Heritage assets may be classified as either ‘designated’ or ‘non-designated’ and both can be important to consider through the planning process. Heritage assets can include listed buildings, scheduled monuments, conservation areas, registered parks and gardens, archaeological sites and other assets.</p> <p>Development proposals at an early stage should refer to sources of information on the historic environment such as the Oxfordshire Historic Landscape Characterisation Project, The Oxfordshire Historic Environment Record, The National Heritage List for England, the South Oxfordshire Heritage Impact Assessment, and where relevant Conservation Area Character Appraisals to ensure that proposals are based on an understanding of the significance of any heritage assets that may be affected. Development proposals should also take into account the principles set out in the South Oxfordshire Design Guide and other relevant guidance.</p> <p>In some circumstances, further surveys and analysis may be required prior to any application being determined. Heritage Statements, Statements of Significance, and Impact Assessments should be produced in line with current best practice and relevant national guidance.</p> <p>We will monitor buildings or other heritage assets at risk through neglect, decay or other threats, proactively seeking solutions for assets at risk through discussions with owners and willingness to consider positively</p>

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		development schemes that would ensure the repair and maintenance of the asset, and, as a last resort, using its statutory powers.
ENV8 (ENV7)	Replace entire policy.	<p>Policy ENV8 – Listed Buildings</p> <p>Proposals for alteration of, addition to or demolition of (including partial demolition) a listed building or for development within the curtilage of, or affecting the setting of a Listed Building must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) conserve or enhance the heritage significance and setting; ii) respect any features of special architectural or historic interest, including, where relevant, the historic curtilage or context, such as burgage plots, or its value within a group and/or its setting, such as the importance of a street frontage or traditional shopfronts, and iii) be sympathetic to the Listed Building and its setting in terms of its siting, size, scale, height, alignment, materials and finishes (including colour and texture), design and form, in order to retain the special interest that justifies its designation through appropriate design and in accordance with the South Oxfordshire Design Guide. <p>Any harm to the building must be demonstrably outweighed by its benefits. National planning policy makes clear that any harm or loss of a listed building should be exceptional and would require convincing justification in terms of substantial public benefit.</p> <p>Proposals for the change of use of a Listed Building or building within its curtilage will be viewed favourably where it can be demonstrated that the new use can be accommodated without any adverse effect on the special architectural or historic interest of the building and its appearance or character.</p> <p>Applications involving listed buildings should describe the significance of any heritage assets affected, including any contribution made by their setting. The level of detail provided should be proportionate to the asset’s significance.</p>
ENV9	Replace entire	Proposals for development within or affecting the setting of a Conservation Area must conserve or enhance its

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
(now ENV8)	policy.	<p>special interest, character, setting and appearance. Development will be expected to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. contribute to the conservation area’s special interest and its relationship within its setting. The special characteristics of the Conservation Area (such as existing walls, buildings, trees, hedges, burgage plots, traditional shopfronts and signs, farm groups, medieval townscapes, archaeological features, historic routes etc.) should be preserved. ii. take into account important views within, into or out of the conservation area and show that these would be retained and unharmed iii. respect the local character and distinctiveness of the conservation area in terms of the development’s: siting; size; scale; height; alignment; materials and finishes (including colour and texture); proportions; design; and form, in accordance with the South Oxfordshire Design Guide and any relevant Conservation Area Character Appraisal iv. be sympathetic to the original curtilage of buildings and pattern of development that forms part of the historic interest of the conservation area v. be sympathetic to important spaces such as paddocks, greens, gardens and other gaps or spaces between buildings which make a positive contribution to the pattern of development in the conservation area vi. ensure the wider social and environmental effects generated by the development are compatible with the existing character and appearance of the conservation area, and/or vii. ensure no loss of, or harm to any building or feature that makes a positive contribution to the special interest, character or appearance of the conservation area. <p>Where harm to significance is caused, a balanced judgement will be made with regard to the level of harm against demonstrable public benefits.</p> <p>Wherever possible the sympathetic restoration and re-use of structures which make a positive contribution to the special interest, character or appearance of the conservation area will be encouraged to prevent harm through the cumulative loss of features which are an asset to the Conservation Area.</p> <p>Applicants will be required to describe, in line with best practice and relevant national guidance, the significance</p>

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>of any heritage assets affected including any contribution made by their setting. The level of detail should be proportionate to the assets' importance. In some circumstances, further survey, analysis and recording will be made a condition of consent</p>
ENV10 (ENV9)	Replace entire policy.	<p>Policy ENV10: Archaeology and Scheduled Monuments Development must protect the site and setting of Scheduled Monuments or nationally important designated or undesignated archaeological remains.</p> <p>Applicants will be expected to undertake an assessment of appropriate detail to determine whether the development site is known to, or is likely to, contain archaeological remains. Proposals must show the development proposals have had regard to any such remains. Where the assessment indicates archaeological remains on site, and development could disturb or adversely affect archaeological remains and/or their setting, applicants will be expected to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. submit an appropriate archaeological desk-based assessment or ii. undertake a field evaluation (conducted by a suitably qualified, and archaeological organisation), where necessary. <p>Nationally important archaeological remains (whether scheduled or demonstrably of equivalent significance) should be preserved in situ. Non-designated archaeological sites or deposits of significance equal to that of a nationally important monument will be assessed as though those sites or deposits are designated. Development proposals that would lead to harm or total loss of significance of such remains will only be permitted in exceptional circumstances where:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. it can be demonstrated that the harm or loss is necessary to achieve substantial public benefits that outweigh that harm or loss, or ii. all of the circumstances of paragraph 133 of the NPPF apply. <p>For other archaeological remains, the effect of a development proposal on the significance of the remains, either directly or indirectly, will be taken into account in determining the application. As such assets are also irreplaceable, the presumption will be in favour of the avoidance of harm. The scale of the harm or loss will be</p>

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>weighed against this presumption and the significance of the heritage asset.</p> <p>In exceptional cases, where harm to or loss of significance to the asset is considered to be justified, the harm should be minimised, and mitigated by a programme of archaeological investigation, including excavation, recording and analysis. Planning permission will not be granted until this programme has been submitted to, and approved by, the local planning authority, and development should not commence until these works have been satisfactorily undertaken by an appropriately qualified organisation. The results and analysis of findings subsequent to the investigation should be published and made available to the relevant local and county authorities.</p>
<p>New policy ('Flood risk' - 8.52)</p>	<p>Add in new policy between title 'Flood risk' and paragraph 8.52.</p>	<p>Policy EP3 – Flood Risk</p> <p>The risk and impact of flooding will be minimised through:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) directing new development to areas with the lowest probability of flooding; ii) ensuring that all new development addresses the effective management of all sources of flood risk; iii) ensuring that development does not increase the risk of flooding elsewhere; and iv) ensuring wider environmental benefits of development in relation to flood risk. <p>The suitability of development proposed in flood zones will be strictly assessed using the 'Sequential Test', and, where necessary, the 'Exceptions Test'. A sequential approach should be used at site level.</p> <p>A Site-Specific Flood Risk Assessment (SSFRA) will be required for all developments of 1 hectare and greater in Flood Zone 1. A SSFRA will be required for all developments (including minor development and change of use) in Flood Zone 2 and 3, in Critical Drainage Areas, and where the development or change of use is to a more vulnerable class that may be subject to other forms of flooding. Appropriate mitigation and management measures will be required to be implemented and maintained.</p> <p>All development proposals must be assessed against the current South Oxfordshire Strategic Flood Risk Assessment or any updates and the Oxfordshire Local Flood Risk Management Strategy to address locally</p>

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>significant flooding. Appropriate mitigation and management measures must be implemented and maintained.</p> <p>All development will be required to provide a drainage strategy. Development will be expected to incorporate sustainable drainage systems and ensure that runoff rates are attenuated to greenfield run-off rates. Higher rates would need to be justified and the risks quantified. Development should strive to reduce run-off rates for existing developed sites.</p> <p>Sustainable drainage systems should seek to enhance water quality and biodiversity in line with the Water Framework Directive.</p>
New policy (8.51 – ‘Flood risk’)	Add new policy between new title ‘Waste collection and recycling’ and title ‘Flood risk’	<p>Policy EP3: Waste Collection and Recycling</p> <p>All new development will be expected to be consistent with the Council’s Waste Planning Guidance.</p> <p>Development proposals for residential use must ensure:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) adequate facilities are provided for the sorting, storage and collection of waste and recycling ii) sufficient space is provided for the storage and collection of individual or communal recycling and refuse containers; and iii) access is provided that is safe for existing users/residents and for refuse and recycling collection vehicles. <p>Development proposals for non-residential use must ensure:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> iv) sufficient space is provided for the storage of communal recycling and refuse containers; v) provision is made that is adequate for the proposed use. The location and design of recycling and refuse provision should be integral to the design of the proposed development. <p>In assessing recycling and refuse provision, the following points should be considered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> vi) the level and type of provision, having regard to the above requirements and relevant space standards;

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>vii) the location of the provision, having regard to the need to provide and maintain safe and convenient access for occupants, while also providing satisfactory access for collection vehicles;</p> <p>viii) the impact of the provision on visual amenity, having regard to the need to minimise the prominence of the facilities and screen any external provision;</p> <p>ix) the impact of the provision on health and amenity of neighbouring development and the proposed development; and</p> <p>x) the security of the provision against scavenging pests, vandalism and unauthorised use.</p> <p>Recycling and refuse storage should be separate from cycle storage, car parking and key circulation areas.</p> <p>Development will not be permitted if recycling and refuse provision that meets the above requirements cannot feasibly or practicably be provided.</p>
New policy ('Flood risk' - 8.52)	Add in new policy between title 'Flood risk' and paragraph 8.52.	<p>Policy EP4 – Flood Risk</p> <p>The risk and impact of flooding will be minimised through:</p> <p>v) directing new development to areas with the lowest probability of flooding;</p> <p>vi) ensuring that all new development addresses the effective management of all sources of flood risk;</p> <p>vii) ensuring that development does not increase the risk of flooding elsewhere; and</p> <p>viii) ensuring wider environmental benefits of development in relation to flood risk.</p> <p>The suitability of development proposed in flood zones will be strictly assessed using the 'Sequential Test', and, where necessary, the 'Exceptions Test'. A sequential approach should be used at site level.</p> <p>A Site-Specific Flood Risk Assessment (SSFRA) will be required for all developments of 1 hectare and greater in Flood Zone 1. A SSFRA will be required for all developments (including minor development and change of use) in Flood Zone 2 and 3, in Critical Drainage Areas, and where the development or change of use is to a more vulnerable class that may be subject to other forms of flooding. Appropriate mitigation and management measures will be required to be implemented and maintained.</p>

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>All development proposals must be assessed against the current South Oxfordshire Strategic Flood Risk Assessment or any updates and the Oxfordshire Local Flood Risk Management Strategy to address locally significant flooding. Appropriate mitigation and management measures must be implemented and maintained.</p> <p>All development will be required to provide a drainage strategy. Development will be expected to incorporate sustainable drainage systems and ensure that runoff rates are attenuated to greenfield run-off rates. Higher rates would need to be justified and the risks quantified. Development should strive to reduce run-off rates for existing developed sites.</p> <p>Sustainable drainage systems should seek to enhance water quality and biodiversity in line with the Water Framework Directive.</p>
Chapter Nine – Built Environment		
DES6 –DES7	Add New Policy	<p>Policy DES7 – Public Art</p> <p>All proposals for major development*, or development of sites larger than 0.5 hectares, must make provision for public art that makes a significant contribution towards the appearance of the scheme or the character of the area, or which benefits the local community. Applicants will be required to set out in their proposal details of the provision of public art, including its location and design in accordance with the South Oxfordshire Design Guide. Contributions will be required in accordance with Policy INF1: Infrastructure Provision.</p> <p>*As defined by Development Management Procedure Order 2010.</p>
New text	After DES7 add supporting text as shown:	<p>Public art can improve the quality of new developments, and along with high quality design, help to create stimulating and rewarding environments that are of benefit to current and future generations.</p> <p>National policy places an emphasis on public art in design and place-making for new developments. Successful schemes can make places more interesting, exciting and aesthetically pleasing for residents and the community. Public art incorporated into public spaces can also help to bring neighbourhoods together and provide a space</p>

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>for social activities and civic life.</p> <p>We will seek to support public art within new development schemes in accordance with our Arts Development Strategy. We also seek to promote quality art within new developments by encouraging partnership working between professional artists and craftspeople and encouraging local participation to help to establish an identity for an area.</p> <p>Developers will be expected to contribute towards the provision of public art in order to help improve the appearance of the scheme and/or reflect the character of the area.</p> <p>We will particularly support proposals for art within residential and commercial development that benefits the local community and helps to establish civic or corporate pride and identity, encourage public enjoyment and engagement, promote the renewal of social skills or supporting the local economy. Proposals that contribute towards the appearance of a scheme, for example to make a positive contribution to the character of an area or that draw inspiration from local culture and history to improve the 'sense of place', will also be supported.</p>
DES7 (now DES8)	Amend policy as follows:	<p>The Council encourages developers to make provision for the effective use of natural resources where applicable, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) The efficient use of land, with net densities of at least 25 30 dwellings per hectare, taking account of local circumstances including access to local services and facilities and local character; ii) Using recycled and energy efficient materials; iii) Maximising passive solar heating, lighting, natural ventilation, energy and water efficiency and the re-use of materials; iv) Making efficient use of water, for example through rainwater harvesting and grey water recycling; v) Causing no deterioration in, and where possible, achieving improvements in water quality; vi) Taking account of, and if located within an AQMA, is consistent with, the Council's Air Quality Action Plan; vii) Ensuring that the land is of a suitable quality for development

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>and that remediation of contaminated land is undertaken where necessary;</p> <p>viii) Avoiding the development of the best and most versatile agricultural land, unless it is demonstrated to be the most sustainable choice from reasonable alternatives, by first using areas of poorer quality land in preference to that of a higher quality;</p> <p>ix) Minimising waste and making adequate provision for the recycling, composting and recovery of waste on site;and</p> <p>x) Re-using vacant buildings and redeveloping previously developed land, provided it the land is not of a high environmental value.</p> <p>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 4, 5, 6, 7 & 8</p>
9.21	Replace with:	<p>In line with the Government’s White Paper: Fixing our broken housing market, all development will be expected to use land efficiently, with a density and form appropriate to the site and its surroundings, taking into account local character and accessibility to services and facilities. The minimum net density achieved by new development should be 30 dwellings per hectare (dph).</p>
DES10	Replace entire policy with the following:	<p>Policy DES10: Rural Workers’ Dwellings</p> <p>The provision of a rural worker dwelling in the open countryside will be permitted provided that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) it is essential, and can be demonstrated there is an existing need for one or more permanent full time workers to be readily available at all times for the rural enterprise to operate viably; ii) the functional need cannot be met by other suitable available existing dwellings in the locality of the rural enterprise; iii) the rural enterprise is economically sustainable, has been established for at least 3 years and is likely to remain financially viable for the foreseeable future iv) the size and scale of the dwelling is proportional with the needs of the rural enterprise; and

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change												
		<p>v) it respects the landscape, rural character, and its rural locality.</p> <p>If a rural worker dwelling is essential to support a new rural enterprise it should be provided temporarily by a caravan, a wooden structure which can easily be dismantled, or other temporary accommodation for the first three years.</p> <p>Planning permission will be subject to an appropriate occupancy condition, restricting its occupation to a person who is directly employed on a permanent full time basis and their family.</p>												
Chapter Ten – Town Centres and Retailing														
10.5	Amend as shown:	<p>Our retail assessment found that new foodstores located outside of town centres could have an adverse impact on the centres of Didcot, Henley, Thame and Wallingford. To protect the vitality and viability of these town centres, our assessment recommends setting a local impact threshold of 500 sq.m. Any proposals that exceed the local floorspace thresholds will need to be accompanied by an impact assessment based on a methodology and assumptions that have been agreed with the Council in advance.</p>												
TC2	Replace figures in table (keep formatting) and add new text below table	<p>New comparison and convenience retail floorspace will be provided in line with the following requirements:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Up to 2022</th> <th>2022-2027</th> <th>2027-2033*</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Convenience goods floorspace</td> <td>7,300m²</td> <td>1,600m² (8,900m²)</td> <td>2,200m² (11,100m²)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Comparison goods floorspace</td> <td>-400m²**</td> <td>10,200m² (10,600m²)</td> <td>14,100m² (24,700m²)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>*Figures beyond 2027 should be treated as indicative ***Orchard Centre Phase II meets the comparison goods need (and marginally exceeds it by 400m²) up to 2022</p> <p>Subject to the identification of a suitable site, the council will seek the provision of additional convenience goods floorspace, in the format of a single foodstore in the market towns as follows:</p>		Up to 2022	2022-2027	2027-2033*	Convenience goods floorspace	7,300m ²	1,600m ² (8,900m ²)	2,200m ² (11,100m ²)	Comparison goods floorspace	-400m ² **	10,200m ² (10,600m ²)	14,100m ² (24,700m ²)
	Up to 2022	2022-2027	2027-2033*											
Convenience goods floorspace	7,300m ²	1,600m ² (8,900m ²)	2,200m ² (11,100m ²)											
Comparison goods floorspace	-400m ² **	10,200m ² (10,600m ²)	14,100m ² (24,700m ²)											

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>Henley: a new foodstore in the region of 1500m² Thame: a new foodstore in the region of 1500m² Wallingford: a new foodstore in the region of 1500m²</p> <p>This policy contributes towards achieving objectives 3, 4, 6 & 7.</p>
Chapter Eleven – Community and Recreational Facilities		
CF2	Replace entire policy.	<p>Policy CF2 – Provision of community facilities and services</p> <p>Development proposals for the provision of new or extended community facilities and services will be supported, particularly where:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) they are located within or adjacent to the built-up area of an existing settlement; ii) they would clearly meet an identified local need; and iii) they are accessible for all members of the community and promote social inclusion.
Policy CF5	Replace entire policy	<p>New residential development will be required to provide or contribute towards accessible open space and play facilities in line with the most up to date standards set out in the Open Space Strategy, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amenity greenspace (including parks and gardens) • Allotments • Equipped children’s’ play areas <p>New residential development will be required to provide or contribute towards accessible sport and recreation facilities, including playing pitches, in line with the Council’s most up to date Leisure Strategy and Sport England guidance.</p> <p>The provision of open space, sport, recreation and play facilities, and playing pitches is expected to be delivered on site, unless this is demonstrated not to be feasible.</p>

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change																												
		Provision for the future long-term maintenance and management of the open space and facilities will be sought and must be agreed as part of the planning application.																												
Chapter Twelve – Monitoring and Review																														
Housing Table (2.3 – ‘Economy’)	Add additional indicators to table between paragraph 2.3 and title ‘Economy’ as shown:	<p>Add additional indicators:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Indicator</th> <th>Target</th> <th>Source</th> <th>Period</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Strategic allocations (Local Plan)</td> <td>To deliver against 5 Year Housing Land Supply Target</td> <td>Annual housing monitoring</td> <td>Annually</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Towns-allocations (NDP)</td> <td>To deliver against the NDP allocation</td> <td>Annual housing monitoring</td> <td>Annually</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Larger Villages (NDP)</td> <td>To deliver against the NDP allocation</td> <td>Annual housing monitoring</td> <td>Annually</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Smaller villages (NDP)</td> <td>To deliver against the NDP allocation</td> <td>Annual housing monitoring</td> <td>Annually</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Smaller villages -windfalls</td> <td></td> <td>Annual housing monitoring</td> <td>Annually</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C2 Care Homes</td> <td></td> <td>Annual housing monitoring</td> <td>Annually</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Indicator	Target	Source	Period	Strategic allocations (Local Plan)	To deliver against 5 Year Housing Land Supply Target	Annual housing monitoring	Annually	Towns-allocations (NDP)	To deliver against the NDP allocation	Annual housing monitoring	Annually	Larger Villages (NDP)	To deliver against the NDP allocation	Annual housing monitoring	Annually	Smaller villages (NDP)	To deliver against the NDP allocation	Annual housing monitoring	Annually	Smaller villages -windfalls		Annual housing monitoring	Annually	C2 Care Homes		Annual housing monitoring	Annually
Indicator	Target	Source	Period																											
Strategic allocations (Local Plan)	To deliver against 5 Year Housing Land Supply Target	Annual housing monitoring	Annually																											
Towns-allocations (NDP)	To deliver against the NDP allocation	Annual housing monitoring	Annually																											
Larger Villages (NDP)	To deliver against the NDP allocation	Annual housing monitoring	Annually																											
Smaller villages (NDP)	To deliver against the NDP allocation	Annual housing monitoring	Annually																											
Smaller villages -windfalls		Annual housing monitoring	Annually																											
C2 Care Homes		Annual housing monitoring	Annually																											
Climate Change Table (below)	Amend table below paragraph 8.2	Row 3, Column 2 - No target but monitoring against the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs ‘Non-statutory technical standards for sustainable drainage systems’ March 2015																												

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change						
8.2)		Row 5, Column 1 – 10% 15% of energy demand from major sites derived from decentralised, renewable or low carbon sources						
Appendix 1: Glossary								
Appendix 6	Map showing masterplan area only	Insert: “NEW MAP FOR APPENDIX 6”						
New Appendices:								
NEW Appendix 8	New appendix to support policy H1	Local Plan Development Trajectory To be provided						
NEW Appendix 9	Title - Designated Sites for Nature Conservation	Please include Appendix 2 from the following document (pages 251-255) http://www.southoxon.gov.uk/sites/default/files/Local%20Plan%202011%20-%20Strikethrough%20version%20after%20adoption%20of%20Core%20Strategy%202012.pdf						
NEW Appendix 10	Title - Scheduled Ancient Monuments	Please include Appendix 3 from the following document (pages 257-259) http://www.southoxon.gov.uk/sites/default/files/Local%20Plan%202011%20-%20Strikethrough%20version%20after%20adoption%20of%20Core%20Strategy%202012.pdf Amend list as follows: <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Parish</th> <th style="text-align: left;">County No</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Monument Title</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th></th> <th style="text-align: left;">Grid ref</th> </tr> </thead> </table>	Parish	County No	Monument Title			Grid ref
Parish	County No	Monument Title						
		Grid ref						

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		Chinnor 28154 Three round bowl barrows on Chinnor Hill
		Holton 30823 Moated site in grounds of Holton Park House and associated ice house
		Holton 30824 Site of manor house in Holton park Moated site south west of Church Farm
		Warborough 31431 Long barrow 140m north west of C rookes Cottages
		Warborough 31432 Romano-British settlement 520m north west of C rookes Cottages
		Warborough 31435 Long barrow 340m north west of C rookes Cottages
		Add to list as follows: Parish: Clifton Hampden County No:1421606 Monument title: Round Barrow Cemetery at Fullamoor Plantation Grid Reference: SU 531944 Parish: Long Wittenham County No: 243 Monument Title: Settlement site south east of Church Grid Reference: SU 53321 93386

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>Parish: Stadhampton County No: 30849 Monument Title: Ice house at Ascott House north west of Ascott Farm Grid Reference: SU 61220 98214</p>
NEW Appendix 11	Title - English Heritage Register of Parks and Gardens of Special Historic Interest in South Oxfordshire	<p>Please include Appendix 4 from the following document (pages 261)</p> <p>http://www.southoxon.gov.uk/sites/default/files/Local%20Plan%202011%20-%20Strikethrough%20version%20after%20adoption%20of%20Core%20Strategy%202012.pdf</p>
NEW Appendix 12	Listed Buildings, Heritage at risk and Conservation Areas in South Oxfordshire	<p>Listed Buildings, Heritage at risk and Conservation Areas in South Oxfordshire</p> <p>Listed Buildings</p> <p>To access a list of the Listed Buildings within South Oxfordshire please follow the link below:</p> <p>https://historicengland.org.uk/listing/the-list/results?searchtype=nhleadvanced</p> <p>Heritage at risk</p> <p>To access a list of heritage assets at risk within South Oxfordshire please follow the link below:</p> <p>https://historicengland.org.uk/advice/heritage-at-risk/search-register/results/?advsearch=1&Lpa=South%20Oxfordshire&searchtype=harsearch</p>

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<p>Conservation Areas</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aston Rowant • Aston Tirrold/Upthorpe • Beckley • Benson • Berrick Salome • Brightwell Baldwin • Brightwell cum Sotwell • Britwell Salome • Chalgrove • Checkendon • Chinnor • Cholsey • Clifton Hampden • Culham • Cuxham • Didcot Old Area • Didcot Northbourne Area • Didcot Station Road • Dorchester • East Hagbourne • Elsfield • Ewelme • Forest Hill • Garsington • Gatehampton • Goring

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Great Haseley • Great Milton • Grey's Green • Henley-on-Thames • Kingston Blount • Lewknor • Little Haseley • Little Milton • Little Wittenham • Long Wittenham • Mackney • Mapledurham • Marsh Baldon • Moreton • Nettlebed • North Moreton • North Stoke • Nuneham Courtenay • Oakley • Overy • Preston Crowmarsh • Pyrton • Rotherfield Peppard • Shepherd's Green • Shillingford • Shirburn • Sonning Eye

PLEASE NOTE: This document shows key proposed changes from the previous version (Second Preferred Options Document). Items in red show additional or replaced text, those in red with strike through show deleted text. This schedule intentionally does not pick up spelling, grammar or other minor corrections.

Policy/ Paragraph	Issue	Change
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • South Moreton • Stanton St John • Stoke Row • Stonor • Sydenham • Thame • Toot Baldon • Towersey • Wallingford • Warborough • Waterstock • Watlington • West Hagbourne • Wheatley • Whitchurch • Winterbrook • Woodeaton
NEW Appendix 13	Town Centre Boundaries and Primary Shopping Frontages	To be provided